


Appendix 4



Committee Debate: For or Against House Resolution 268



Your group is **IN SUPPORT** of House Resolution 268: To amend Title 10 of the United States Code, to ensure that every military chaplain has the prerogative to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the dictates of the chaplain's own conscience.

Your argument should demonstrate how and why supporters believe this provision protects military chaplains' free exercise rights.



Work *establishment* and *disestablishment* language into your argument, and reflect on the class' earlier discussion on Madison's and Leland's historic documents. How would you use those Revolutionary Era arguments to argue **FOR** the constitutionality of this provision?



Your group is **IN SUPPORT** of House Resolution 268: To amend Title 10 of the United States Code, to ensure that every military chaplain has the prerogative to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the dictates of the chaplain's own conscience.

Your argument should demonstrate how and why supporters believe this provision protects military chaplains' free exercise rights, etc.


Work *establishment* and *disestablishment* language into your argument, and reflect on the class' earlier discussion on Madison's and Leland's historic documents. How would you use those Revolutionary Era arguments to argue **FOR** the constitutionality of this provision?



Your group **OPPOSES** House Resolution 268: To amend Title 10 of the United States Code, to ensure that every military chaplain has the prerogative to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the dictates of the chaplain's own conscience.

Your argument should demonstrate how and why opponents believe this provision would impose upon the rights of military personnel required to attend events where prayer is offered in a manner specific to a religious belief different than their own.

Work *establishment* and *disestablishment* language into your argument, and reflect on the class' earlier discussion on Madison's and Leland's documents. How would you use those Revolutionary Era arguments to argue **AGAINST** the constitutionality of this provision?



Your group **OPPOSES** House Resolution 268: To amend Title 10 of the United States Code, to ensure that every military chaplain has the prerogative to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the dictates of the chaplain's own conscience.

Your argument should demonstrate how and why opponents believe this provision would impose upon the rights of military personnel required to attend events where prayer is offered in a manner specific to a religious belief different than their own.

Work *establishment* and *disestablishment* language into your argument, and reflect on the class' earlier discussion on Madison's and Leland's documents. How would you use those Revolutionary Era arguments to argue **AGAINST** the constitutionality of this provision?

